

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/607,004	HONDA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Craig A. Renner	2652	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Pending

 (1) Craig A. Renner, Primary Examiner.

(3) _____.

 (2) W. Todd Baker, Attorney For Applicants.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 January 2006
Time: N/A
Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None.

Claims discussed:

Includes 8, 13-17 and 20-21.

Prior art documents discussed:


None.

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

By examiner's amendment, clarifying language was incorporated into the title and claim 8 and non-elected claims 13-17 and 20-21 were canceled without prejudice or disclaimer.

Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

N/A
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)